This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/824,999	04/03/2001	Kung Chen	P/3491-41	3857
2352	7590 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN			CAMPBELL, JOSHUA D	
1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
TIE III TORILI,	.,,		2179	

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/824,999	CHEN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joshua D Campbell	2178			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ap	oril 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d		· '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	= : :				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Applicate documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date´. ral Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed on 04/03/2001.

2. Claims 1-6 are pending in this case. Claim 1 is an independent claim.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 4/3/2001. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of terms contained in quotes does not clearly define the scope of that claim. Appropriate correction is required. Also, as claim 6 is currently written, ("... where in the plurality of control items comprises a respective control item of ...") it is only necessary for one item of that list to be present for a prior art reference to properly read on the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Application/Control Number: 09/824,999

Art Unit: 2178

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 1- 4, and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paroz (US Patent Number 6,587,125, filed on August 1, 2000).

Regarding independent claim 1, Paroz teaches a method in which a toolbar (interface) have a plurality of control items for a user to select and edit are translated into a intermediate representation (column 7-9 of Paroz). The interface is then converted into a DHTML interface representation based on rules that is logically equivalent in all control items, both in event handling and statement (column 7-9 of Paroz). Paroz does not disclose that a logical mapping table determines the logical equivalence or that the conversion is based on a representation-mapping table.

However, Paroz does disclose that the logic necessary for equivalence is determined and that the rules necessary for conversion are also determined (column 7-9 of Paroz). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/824,999

Art Unit: 2178

was made to have combined the method of Paroz with the use of mapping tables because it was well known in the art at the time the invention was made to use tables in order to better organize data to be used by a program.

Regarding dependent claims 2 and 3, Paroz teaches a method in which the conversion can be performed on Microsoft Windows GUI environments and other common interface environments (column 7, lines 31-46 of Paroz). Paroz does directly teach that the conversion method could be performed on Visual Basic and Delphi interfaces. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the method of Paroz with VB and Delphi interfaces because the interfaces were well known in the are at the time the invention was made and it would have increased the portability to operate on these two well known interfaces.

Regarding dependent claim 4, Paroz teaches a method in which the intermediate representation exists as XML data (column 10, lines 35-57 of Paroz).

Regarding dependent claim 6, Paroz teaches a method in which control items in a GUI are converted which includes items such as labels, text boxes, command buttons, and other GUI function items (Figure 3 of Paroz).

9. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paroz (US Patent Number 6,587,125, filed on August 1, 2000) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Rempell (US Patent Number 6,546,397, filed on December 2, 1999).

Art Unit: 2178

Regarding independent claim 5, Paroz does not disclose the use of CSS with the DHTML interfaces. However, Rempell discloses a method in which interfaces are built using a combination of CSS and DHTML (column 21, lines 31-67 of Rempell). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have combined the methods of Paroz and Rempell because it would have allowed for new interfaces to be identical visually and behaviorally to their originals.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

US Patent Number 5,831,609

US Patent Number 6,434,628

US Patent Number 6,714,219

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua D Campbell whose telephone number is (703)305-5764. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 AM - 4:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (703)308-5186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/824,999

Art Unit: 2178

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JDC May 27, 2004 STEPHEN S. HONG PRIMARY EXAMINE